

---

Subject: Outline and chat for first article...

Posted by [admin](#) on Fri, 02 Jun 2017 20:15:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This thread will help us chat back and forth without using email...

:p

---

---

Subject: Re: Outline and chat for first article...

Posted by [admin](#) on Fri, 02 Jun 2017 20:53:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Three tests

=====

Achievement (success) scale after a task

Growth of compassion after a task

Change in sense of locus of control after a task (dominion, SDO, etc)

We will propose that how we see and treat the environment is highly correlated with how we see our own body (temple - but see Muir). Extend Costello (how we see animals affects how we see each other)

Group 1: must ask its right hand permission every time the mind wants it to do something. Someone else (a confederate) will act on behalf of the hand (out of view, like Milgram). This will be our experimental control (we know how the hand will respond; the subject doesn't)

The Ss must achieve some well-known task, using a well known scale to measure progress. Therefore, how the hand responds matters to "success". This will be our "mutual benefit" control (well, mutual agreement for now - consensus)

We will have subjects do the other hand too (left hand). This way we can use a "within group" variable (chirality) and a between groups variable (the hand's unknowable response). We can then swap which hand is controlled by a "no trespassing" owner (the Ss or a confederate). And the Ss won't know.

Other scales: we will also do a test that measures "other compassion" (see scales) against a separable concept (for discriminant validity and independence of observations). We will also do a test that measures any change (perturbation, interruption) in the sense of locus of control (see scales)

Assumptions:

When the hand is fully compliant, success will be highest

When the hand is fully compliant, tested allo-compassion will be lowest (like an A1C test, over time, expectation of compliance not consensus)

When the hand is fully compliant, locus of control will exhibit least change

When the hand is least compliant, success will be lowest

When the hand is least compliant, tested allo-compassion will be highest (if success is decent)

too), else success will be low because the two cannot reach consensus  
When the hand is least compliant, locus of control will exhibit most change (stress)

The Ss must achieve some well-known task, using a well known scale to measure progress.  
Therefore, how the hand responds matters to "success". This will be our "mutual benefit" control  
(well, mutual agreement for now - consensus). For benefit to truly figure in, the hand must benefit  
in a non mental way.

---

Subject: Re: Outline and chat for first article...  
Posted by [admin](#) on Thu, 08 Jun 2017 21:03:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

#### Outline for Biophilia Article

Goal: follow the dissertation format to make a simple, quality article. Near goal is to "seed"  
curiosity for more. Far goal is to grow the theory like a live plant, vs. to nurture "information"  
(from rhizome to flower).

The Gap or Problem:

Our beautiful planet is dying.

The Question:

Why is it dying?

Why are we just now noticing our planet is dying?

Why do some folks angrily deny it's dying, and demand more business as usual?

Proposal:

It's dying because of business as usual.

It's dying because, instead of a deep reverence for any kind of life, we hold only a deep reverence  
for human life, and not even that, but primarily for human works.

The Test or Trial:

Given a choice, would we sacrifice our own species to save our world? Do we love it this much?  
(enough to lay down our lives for our Companion, this planet we call home)

---

#### File Attachments

1) [outline of plan-MO-LM-06-07-17.docx](#), downloaded 348 times

---

---

Subject: Re: Outline and chat for first article...  
Posted by [admin](#) on Tue, 13 Jun 2017 16:44:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Here is our latest back and forth feedback on the emerging article... (attached)

---

#### File Attachments

1) [AwedByNature-Part-1-Safety - MO - LM.docx](#), downloaded 400  
times

---

Subject: Re: Version 1 of article (Part 1: Justice for Nature)

Posted by [admin](#) on Sat, 17 Jun 2017 01:06:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Here is the rough article, Dr. O'Grady's comments/revisions, and my feedback to her feedback...  
Lonny

Note the survey design is based on the instrument by Ubel and Loewenstein as noted in the paper, and adapted for this purpose. I have permission for use except we will need to reapply based on this alternate usage.

### File Attachments

---

1) [AwedByNature-SurveyFocus-rev1-MO-LM.docx](#), downloaded 379 times

---